



United States District Court

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCY

TO: ☒ U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE / ☐ U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE Hon. Janis L. Sammartino

FROM: N. Peltier, Deputy Clerk	RECEIVED DATE: October 8, 2015
CASE NO. 15-cv-01376-JLS-JLB	DOC FILED BY: Clarice Tuck
CASE TITLE: Tuck v. Guardian Protection Services, Inc. et al	
DOCUMENT ENTITLED: Plaintiff Motion to Extend Time	

Upon the submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:

Civ.L. Rule 7.1 or 47.1 - Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document;

Civ.L. Rule 5.1 - Missing time and date on motion and/or supporting documentation;

Date Forwarded: October 9, 2015

ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	The document is to be filed nunc pro tunc to date received.
<input type="checkbox"/>	The document is NOT to be filed. But instead REJECTED, and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve a copy of this order on all parties.

Rejected documents to be returned to pro se or inmate? ☐ Yes. Court copy retained by chambers ☐

Any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuant to
Civil Local Rule 83.1 or Criminal Local Rule 57.1.

Date: October 13, 2015

CHAMBERS OF: Hon. Janis L. Sammartino

cc: All Parties

By: /s/ SAD